EXHIBIT 6

```
1
                                                             1
 2
       SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
       COUNTY OF SUFFOLK: PART 48
 3
 4
       IN RE: OPIOID LITIGATION
 5
                                 INDEX NO.:400000/2017
 6
 7
                                 August 18, 2020
 8
                                 Central Islip, New York
 9
                    MINUTES OF FRYE HEARING
                    (Testimony of James Rafalski
10
11
       B E F O R E:
                            HON. JERRY GARGUILO
                            Supreme Court Justice
12
       APPEARANCES:
13
       SIMMONS HANLY CONROY, LLC
14
       Attorneys for Suffolk County
       112 Madison Avenue
15
       New York, New York 10016
       BY: PAUL J. HANLY, JR., ESQ.
16
            JAYNE CONROY, ESQ,
            (212) 784-6401
17
            phanly@simmonsfirm.com
            jconroy@simmonsfirm.com
18
19
       NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC
       Attorneys for Nassau County
20
       400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305
       Melville, New York 11747
21
       BY: HUNTER SKOLNICK, ESQ.
            SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ.
22
            JOSEPH L. CIACCIO, ESQ.
             (212)397-1000
23
            pnapoli@napolilaw.com
            sbadala@napolilaw.com
24
            jciaccio@napolilaw.com
25
```

18 1 Opioid Frye Hearing 2 everything, just like drawing back to my experience 3 with the DEA. 4 I'm going to try to simplify my question Q. because I think we're talking past each other. 5 6 Is there any analysis you've undertaken 7 in your opinions or report that you were asked to 8 undertake by the lawyers? 9 No, sir. Α 10 And is there any opinion you reached 11 without specifically being asked by the lawyers to 12 reach an opinion on that subject? Yes or no. 13 THE COURT: Just yes or no, 14 Mr. Rafalski. 15 THE WITNESS: No. I'm just thinking about it. Sorry, your Honor. No, no, I 16 17 don't think there is. 18 BY MR. SCHMIDT: 19 Is there any -- and I'm going to flag 20 this one for the Court and for Ms. Conroy, because I don't think it runs afoul of the objection that was 21 22 made yesterday, but I want to give that courtesy. 23 Is there any analysis you did in your 24 report entirely independently without taking 25 something that was first prepared by someone like

131 1 Opioid Frye Hearing 2 And that is consistent with what you did 3 at DEA, you would look to the metrics or the formula 4 or the algorithm? 5 THE COURT: Time out. Is this a consistent method you employed 6 7 while at the DEA; yes or no? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. There's five metrics 10 here. When do you decide which one to use or 11 do you use more than one or do they prove each other? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Typically at DEA, your 14 Honor, generally you would apply the metric 15 for that particular company. You apply their 16 own formula to see if they were following 17 their own system to identify orders. 18 In this case -- go ahead, I'm sorry, 19 your Honor. 20 THE COURT: So you're telling me each --21 are you telling me that in this case and only 22 this case, each company applies one of these 23 five metrics or are there more metric out 24 there? 25 THE WITNESS: There's an unlimited